PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

LJV

BECEIVED

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR .UG **0 9** 2005 NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF

MAKELY, SO (OLOFF, TAYLOR INTERMATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND

LOS ANGEMBITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP Attn. Vincent, Lester J. 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 1111 7th floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 CILLIFF? UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (PCT Rule 44.1) AUG - 9 2005 Date of mailing (day/month/year) STATIONAL 04/08/2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below 5489.P092PCT International filing date International application No. (day/month/year) 08/04/2005 PCT/US2005/011886 Applicant NOVERA OPTICS, INC. The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes Where? Directly to the 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Harrie and maining address of the international committee of	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Carole Emery

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international pbulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rute 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guirla

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PA1 _.NT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	ae wall	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
5489.P092PCT	ACTION					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US2005/011886	08/04/2005		09/04/2004			
Applicant						
NOVERA OPTICS, INC.		,				
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.						
This International Search Report consists	s of a total ofshe	ets.				
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document c	ted in this	report.			
Basis of the report						
a. With regard to the language, the	international search was carried out lless otherwise indicated under this it	on the bas em.	sis of the international application in the			
The international this Authority (Ru		of a transla	ation of the international application furnished to			
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.			
2. Certain claims were fou	ind unsearchable (See Box II).					
3. Unity of invention is lac	cking (see Box III).					
4. With regard to the title ,						
X the text is approved as so	ubmitted by the applicant.					
the text has been establis	shed by this Authority to read as follo	ws:				
	NO DOCKETING REQUIRE					
N.A						
5 With repart to the shetrast			!			
5. With regard to the abstract, The text is approved as submitted by the applicant.						
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. iV. The applicant						
may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.						
6. With regard to the drawings ,						
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No2						
as suggested by						
X as selected by thi	s Authority, because the applicant fa	led to sug	gest a figure.			
as selected by thi	s Authority, because this figure bette	character	rizes the invention.			
b. none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.					

'ONAL SEARCH REPORT INTERN

pplication No Internatio PCT/US2005/011886

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H04J14/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 H04J H04B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 03/055111 A (NOKIA CORPORATION; TERVONEN, ARI; HIIRONEN, OLLI-PEKKA) 3 July 2003 (2003-07-03) page 1, line 5 - line 12 page 11, line 26 - page 17, line 7	1-22
X	EP 0 782 285 A (AT&T CORP) 2 July 1997 (1997-07-02) column 1, line 7 - line 10 column 3, line 3 - line 14 column 3, line 24 - column 4, line 19 column 6, line 8 - column 11, line 16	1-22
Α	EP 0 938 205 A (JDS FITEL INC) 25 August 1999 (1999-08-25) paragraph '0001! paragraph '0029!	2,3, 11-14

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.
 interview of sited documents:

- Special categories of cited documents
- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

04/08/2005

28 July 2005 Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Alonso Martin, M.E.

Date of mailing of the international search report

INTERNAT' NAL SEARCH REPORT

International cation No PCT/US2005/011886

	tion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Polovant to claim No.
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 1 388 963 A (CHOI, JUN-KOOK) 11 February 2004 (2004-02-11) paragraph '0001! paragraph '0084! - paragraph '0089!	15,16

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Internatio pplication No
PCT/US2005/011886

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 03055111	A	03-07-2003	AU EP WO US	2002366915 A1 1464131 A1 03055111 A1 2005036785 A1	09-07-2003 06-10-2004 03-07-2003 17-02-2005
EP 0782285	A	02-07-1997	US CA EP JP	5808764 A 2191156 A1 0782285 A2 9200148 A	15-09-1998 29-06-1997 02-07-1997 31-07-1997
EP 0938205	Α	25-08-1999	US CA EP JP	5943149 A 2255346 A1 0938205 A2 11337765 A	24-08-1999 18-08-1999 25-08-1999 10-12-1999
EP 1388963	Α	11-02-2004	CN EP JP US	1481105 A 1388963 A2 2004112763 A 2004033076 A1	10-03-2004 11-02-2004 08-04-2004 19-02-2004

PA NT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: see form PCT/ISA/220			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
					Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220	
International application No. International filing date PCT/US2005/011886 08.04.2005			iay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.04.2004		
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04J14/02					
1						
1. 2.	 ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Alonso Martin, M.E.

Telephone No. +31 70 340-1992



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/011886

	0	No. 1. Decis of the emission						
	ROX	No. I Basis of the opinion						
1.	With the I	regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in anguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type of material:							
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. fo	rmat of material:						
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
	c. tir	ne of filling/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.						
	E	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.							
4. Additional of		tional comments:						
_	Вох	No. II Priority						
1.		The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.						
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3	Δdd	tional observations if necessary.						

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2,3,11-16

No: Claims

1,4-10,17-22

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-22

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 03/055111 A (NOKIA CORPORATION; TERVONEN, ARI; HIIRONEN, OLLI-PEKKA) 3 July 2003 (2003-07-03)

D2: EP-A-0 782 285 (AT&T CORP) 2 July 1997 (1997-07-02)

D3: EP-A-0 938 205 (JDS FITEL INC) 25 August 1999 (1999-08-25)

D4: EP-A-1 388 963 (CHOI, JUN-KOOK) 11 February 2004 (2004-02-11)

- 1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 4-10 and 17-22 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 1.1 The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a wavelength division multiplexing passive optical network for performing bi-directional communication (page 1, lines 5-12), the wavelength division multiplexing passive optical network comprising two or more remote distribution nodes in between a central office and a first optical network unit (page 11, lines 26-29; Fig. 5), each remote distribution node located in a physically separate location (page 11, lines 30-31: "not necessarily located at the same place") and a remote distribution node is conencted to two or more optical network units (page 11, lines 28-29; Fig. 5), wherein each remote distribution node separates one or more wavelength channels from a composite optical signal distributed through that remote distribution node (page 12, line 5- page 13, line 10).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is also covered by document D2 (column 6, lines 8-58).

The subject-matter of claim 1 does therefore not meet the requirement of novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

1.2 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 17 and 20, which therefore are also considered not

new (Article 33(2) PCT).

- 1.3 Dependent claims 4 and 5 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty, see document D1 (page 13, lines 12-20; Fig. 5).
- 1.5 Dependent claims 6-10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty, see document D1 (page 12, lines 5- page 13, line 20; Fig. 5).
- 1.7 Dependent claims 18, 19, 21 and 22 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty, see document D1 (page 13, lines 11-20; Fig. 5).
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 2, 3 and 11-16 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.1 Dependent claims 2, 3 and 11-14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see document D1 in combination with D3 (paragraph 29).
- 2.2 Dependent claims 15 and 16 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of claim 1, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see document D1 in combination with D4 (paragraphs 84-89).